

§. Čech Complex

1. Definition **n-simplex** on a set I

let I be a set & $[0, n] = \{n \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq m \leq n\}$

An n-simplex is a **function** $\sigma: [0, n] \rightarrow I$

& let I_n be set of n-simplices.

St. for $0 < m \leq n+1$; \exists maps

(*) $\partial_m: I_{n+1} \rightarrow I_n$ (omit m^{th} vertex)

$$\sigma \mapsto (\sigma': k \mapsto \begin{cases} \sigma(k) & \text{if } k < m \\ \sigma(k+1) & \text{if } k \geq m \end{cases})$$

Čech Complex

2. let X be a top. space & \mathcal{F} be PSH/X (of abelian gps.)

set $\mathcal{U} := (U_i)_{i \in I}$ open cover of X .

For n-simplex $\sigma \in I_n$; let $V_\sigma := \bigcap \{U_{\sigma(m)}; m \in [0, n]\}$

(intersection of members of \mathcal{U} & so open set of X)

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{\sigma \in I_n} \mathcal{F}(V_\sigma)$

The ∂_m (*) induces

$$\partial_m: C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

$$(\sigma) \mapsto (\tau_{\sigma}) = \sum_{m'} \partial_m \sigma_{m'}$$

Let set $\forall n$:

$$d_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} (-1)^m \partial_m: C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \quad (\text{coboundary})$$

(Apply $d \circ d \Rightarrow$ each term appears twice w opposite signs)

$$\text{Thus } d^2 = 0$$

So $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ becomes a complex: Cech Complex

(belonging to \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})

• w/ Cohomology: $\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^n(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}))$

3° Example . $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is kernel of the map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j) \end{array}$$

$$(s_i) \longmapsto (p_{U_j, U_i \cap U_j}(s_j) - p_{U_i, U_i \cap U_j}(s_i))$$

& if \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, we have $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$.

§ • Refinements of coverings

Two open coverings $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ & $\mathcal{V} = (V_j)_{j \in J}$

\mathcal{V} refinement of \mathcal{U} iff \exists refinement $r: J \rightarrow I$ s.t.

$$\forall j \in J \quad V_j \subseteq U_{r(j)}$$

• This induces a morphism of complexes

$$r' : C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

from

$$\prod_{\sigma \in I_n} \mathcal{F}(U_\sigma) \longrightarrow \prod_{\tau \in J_n} \mathcal{F}(V_\tau)$$

$$s_\sigma \longmapsto t_z \left(= \begin{matrix} \rho_{U_{r(z)}} \\ \nu_z \end{matrix} (s_{r(z)}) \right)$$

$r(z)$ map induced by composition from J_n to I_n .

• r induces morphism of Čech cohomology.

$$\bar{r} : H^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

5.0 Lemma If \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} & $r_1, r_2 : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ are two refinement maps, then they induce same morphism of Čech cohomology.

$$\bar{r}_1 = \bar{r}_2 : H^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

Proof (idea: homotopic maps induce same cohomology morphism.)

Maps r_1 & r_2 are homotopic morphisms of complexes by homotopy:

$$k_n : C^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

$$s_\sigma \longmapsto t_z$$

$$\text{where } t_z = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{matrix} \rho_{U_{z_k}} \\ \nu_z \end{matrix} (s_{z_k})$$

and where $\tau_k(m) = \begin{cases} r_1(\tau(m)) & \text{if } m \leq k \\ r_2(\tau(m-1)) & \text{if } m > k \end{cases}$ for $m \in [0, n+1]$

Apply 2.2: i.e. We have homotopic maps k_n

use: Chain homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{d_n} & C^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \\
 & \swarrow^{k_{n-1}} & \downarrow \downarrow & & \swarrow_{k_n} \\
 C^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{d'_{n-1}} & C^n(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{st } \forall n, d'_{n-1} k_{n-1} + k_n d_n = g_n - h_n$$

which induce same cohomology morphism. \square

§. Čech cohomology of presheaf \mathcal{F} on X

6. Definition

Abelian gps $H^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ form a directed system as \mathcal{U}

varies over open covers of X . (over finer & finer covers)

$$\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} H^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$$

- May happen this class of open cover of X is not a set.

In that case

- If \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} , the morphism

$$r' : C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

depends on choice of r ($I \rightarrow I$; although not $\bar{r} : H(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{V})$)

- So may have a problem in defining direct system of $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ to be able to obtain exact cohomology sequence.

- Solution (Godement)

let $\mathcal{R}(X)$ be set of open covers $(U_x)_{x \in X}$ indexed by X st. $\forall x \in X, x \in U_x$.

- then, define a preorder on $\mathcal{R}(X)$ by

$$\mathcal{V} \geq \mathcal{U} \text{ iff } \forall x \in X, V_x \subseteq U_x$$

- so we obtain a canonical refinement map $X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X$ when $\mathcal{V} \geq \mathcal{U}$.

- This allows a morphism of complexes

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$$

- Can now define $C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{R}(X)} C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$

• Use fact that \varinjlim is exact, then can define

$$\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^n(C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F}))$$

§. Čech cohomology an exact ∂ -functor (on $\text{PSh}(X)$)

8. Theorem.

$\{ \check{H}^n(X, -) ; n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is an exact ∂ -functor
 $\text{PSh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ab gp}$

Proof. An exact seq. $0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{PSh}(X)$
 $\forall U \in \mathcal{Q}(X)$ gives exact seq of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(U, P) \rightarrow C^\bullet(U, Q) \rightarrow C^\bullet(U, R) \rightarrow 0$$

Apply $\varinjlim_{U \in \mathcal{R}(X)}$ & get

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(X, P) \rightarrow C^\bullet(X, Q) \rightarrow C^\bullet(X, R) \rightarrow 0$$

(by 2-12: since $\text{PSh}(X)$ is AbCat,

$\exists \partial: H^n(X, R) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X, P)$ st. \exists LTS of cohomology)

& this means we have the desired LTS of cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, P) \rightarrow H^0(X, Q) \rightarrow H^0(X, R) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^1(X, P) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^n(X, Q) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{n+1}(X, P) \rightarrow \dots \quad \square$$

§. Comparison to Sheaf Cohomology

To identify $\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$, need to verify that resolution of Čech complex is Γ -acyclic where $\check{H}^n : \text{PSh}/X \rightarrow \text{Ab}$

Proceed in number of steps

$$1. \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{P}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{P}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{P}) \quad (0.11)$$

$$2. \check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0 \text{ for } \mathcal{E} \text{ injective (effaceable)} \quad (0.12)$$

$$3. \check{H}^n(X, -) \text{ forms an exact } d\text{-functor if} \quad (0.13)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a. sheafification is isom. (this statement is equivalent to)} \\ \text{b. An } \mathcal{S} \in \text{PSh}/X \text{ having zero sheafification in which case,} \\ \check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{S}) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (0.14)$$

$$(3.) \text{ Holds for any top. space } X \text{ for } n = \{0, 1\} \quad (0.15)$$

(w/ Čech-to-derived functor spectral sequence)

$$\text{And if } X \text{ is paracompact for any } n. \quad (0.16)$$

In paracompact X , can verify \mathcal{P} is Γ -acyclic (next lecture). Then

Leray's Theorem: If \mathcal{P} sheaf on X , & \mathcal{U} open cover of X .

If \mathcal{P} is acyclic on every finite intersection of elements of \mathcal{U} , then

$$\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}) = H^n(X, \mathcal{P})$$

11. By (03) if $\mathcal{P} \in \text{PSh}/X$ is a sheaf on X then

$$\check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{P}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{P}) = H^0(X, \mathcal{P})$$

If we restrict $\check{H}^n(X, -)$ to Sh/X (of all gps) this may not be δ -functor, because exact seq in Sh/X are not necessarily exact in PSh/X .

• A SES in Sh/X :

$$0 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$$

has $\xrightarrow{\quad} \text{Sh Coker}(f)$

• Sheafifying in PSh/X we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}' = \text{PSh Coker}(f) \rightarrow 0$$

\uparrow Sheafification

exact in PSh

Sheafification induces natural maps

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{R}) = \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R})$$

also $\forall i > 0$
 $\check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{R}') \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{R})$

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}') \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow$$

with exact bottom row.

Now we are ready to compare two cohomologies:

Recall by § 5.2.13 $R^* \Gamma \rightarrow \check{H}^*$ are isomorphisms of ∂ -functors if \check{H}^* is effaceable & exact (the universal property)

12. Lemma: If \mathcal{E} injective sheaf (of ab gps) on X for $n > 0$ then $\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$

(i.e. $\check{H}^*(X, -)$ is effaceable on Sh/X)

proof (5.4.12 Tomason) □

Now we are left to study the exactness of \check{H}^*

& if \check{H}^* forms an exact ∂ -functor then

by UP $H^n(X, -) \cong \check{H}^n(X, -)$ for $0 \leq n \leq a$

13. $\check{H}^*(X, -)$ forms an exact ∂ -functor when

a. If $\mathcal{R}' \in \text{PSh}/X$ with sheafification \mathcal{R} then induced Čech cohomology map $\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{R}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{R})$ is an isomorphism.

↳ let $S \in \text{PSh}/X$ st. $\exists \text{SES } 0 \rightarrow R' \rightarrow R \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$

in PSh/X . This means S has no sheafification
(i.e. sheafification is zero sheaf) & so $\exists \text{LES}$ in cohom.

$$\check{H}^{n-1}(X, S) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, R') \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, R) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, S) \rightarrow \dots$$

Clearly (a) equivalent to saying

b. If $S \in \text{PSh}/X$ with zero sheafification, then $\check{H}^n(X, S) = 0$

(13.) is true for $n = \{0, 1\}$ without any other hypothesis on X .

14. Theorem for $n=0$

let X be a top. space & S is a presheaf w zero sheafification then $\check{H}^0(X, S) = 0$

Hence, \check{H}^0 forms an exact, effaceable δ -functor on Sh/X .

& so for any sheaf \mathcal{F} on X

$$\check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$$

Proof -

5-4-14 Tennison

□

◦ Aside: Čech-to-derived functor Spectral Sequences

Computes derived functors of composition of two functors based on information of derived functors $R^i F$ & H .

Theorem [Grothendieck 3.8.1]

let X be a top. space \mathcal{U} the open cover. Then there exists a cohomological spectral functor on Sh/X converging to graded functor $\{H^n(X, \mathcal{F})\}$ whose initial term

$$\text{is } H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{F})) =: E_2^{p,q}$$

where $\mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{F})$ denotes presheaf $U \mapsto H^q(U, \mathcal{F})$

corresponding to $U \subset^{\text{open}} X$ & $H^q(U, \mathcal{F})$ its sheaf cohomology.

This spectral sequence gives natural transformation

$$H^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$$

Corollary [G. 3.8.2: Čech-to-derived Spectral Seq]

X arbitrary top. space. Then \exists a spectral functor on Sh/X converging to $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ whose initial term is given by

$$E_2^{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{F}))$$

$\mathcal{X}^q(\mathcal{F})$ as above.

And the spectral sequence gives a natural

transformation
$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$$

There exists b -term exact sequence of low degree in SS:

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{1,0} \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2,0} \rightarrow E^2$$

which is

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}^1(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{F})$$

15. for $n=1$: Need to show $E_2^{0,1} = 0$

i.e. $\check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}^1(\mathcal{F})) = 0$ so we have the isom.

$$\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$$

Proof: Use

lemma for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}/X$, $\check{H}^q(X, \mathcal{R}^q(\mathcal{F})) = 0 \quad \forall q > 0$.

Proof. We show sheafification of $\mathcal{R}^q(\mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \forall q > 0$.

Consider factorization of identical functor id_S of Sh/X :

$$\text{Sh}/X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \text{PSh}/X \xrightarrow{\# : \text{sheafification}} \text{Sh}/X. \quad \text{We know sheafification}$$

functor $\#$ is exact, so $R^q \# = 0$ for $q > 0$ & in particular each object in PSh/X is $\#$ -acyclic. So $\exists \mathcal{F} \in S$ a

spectral sequence
$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p \# (\mathcal{R}^q(\mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = R^{p+q} \text{id}_S(\mathcal{F})$$

which is functorial in \mathcal{F} .

Since $R^p \# = 0$ also for same reason as earlier

we have $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p > 0$.

This means $R^q \text{id}_S(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})^\# \quad \forall q$

But we have $R^q \text{id}_S = 0$ & so $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})^\# = 0$
as well. \square

Now we have $\check{H}^0(X, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})) = 0$, in particular
shown $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{F})$ has zero sheafification & so with the
help of the spectral sequence can identify

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Next if \check{H}^p has zero sheafification $\forall p > 0$,
it will be an exact, effaceable δ -functor &
we can identify it w H^p

• 16 Isomorphism of Čech & Sheaf Cohomology

Require: Acyclicity of sheaf on every finite intersection of elements of \mathcal{U}
 i.e. \mathcal{U} is acyclic for \mathcal{F} if $\forall U_0, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$
 & $\forall i \geq 0$, $H^i(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_n, \mathcal{F}|_{U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_n}) = 0$

[Garrett §10]

Theorem (Leray): $\check{H}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ if the above holds.

Proof

For $i=0$: ✓ (= • 11)

For $i > 0$: Use induction.

Embed \mathcal{F} in an injective sheaf \mathcal{G} & let \mathcal{Q} be the quotient sheaf then we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

For all non-empty $n+1$ intersections $U = U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_{n+1}$ of elements of the cover \mathcal{U} , we get a LES of cohomology (as right derived functor)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{G}|_U) \rightarrow H^0(U, \mathcal{Q}|_U) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \rightarrow \\ \dots \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{G}|_U) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{Q}|_U) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

By the acyclicity hypothesis of \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} , $H^i(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) = 0$

so we get (since $H^0(U, -) = \Gamma(X, -)$)

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow 0$$

And from higher degrees of LES, invoking the acyclicity of \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} & acyclicity of injective sheaves, we can conclude that for $i > 0$, $\check{H}^i(U, \mathcal{Q}) = 0$

i.e. the same cover \mathcal{U} is also acyclic for quotient sheaf \mathcal{Q} .

By taking products of the exact sequence, we get for the cover \mathcal{U} an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow 0$$

Getting this SES was the point for assuming acyclicity of \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} .

Now LES of cohomology of this complexes is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then $\check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ because \mathcal{G} is injective.

So the LES breaks into smaller exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \check{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } \forall i \geq 1.$$

Now for $(R^0 \Gamma)$ sheaf cohomology.

We have analogous exact seq. $(*)$ from the LHS from

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{also assuming injectivity})$$

like above

Then **Comparison map:**

Natural vertical maps: Commutative due to injective resolutions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By diagram chasing this implies $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \check{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) & \rightarrow & \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{Q}) & \rightarrow & H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with vertical arrows as natural maps.

The cover \mathcal{U} is acyclic for quotient \mathcal{Q} so by "induction" we know that $\check{H}^{i-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Q}) = 0$. Then by induction all natural vertical maps must be isomorphisms. \square

Remark - For Paracompact spaces, acyclicity for covers \mathcal{U} hold & the hypothesis of Leray thm is true. (Next lecture)

§. 17. Connection to Picard group

(classification of line bundles on X can be done w. Zech methods)

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space.

• Def. An **invertible \mathcal{O} mod M** is given by following data:

a. an open covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X st. $\forall i \in I$

$$M|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$$

b. for each $i, j \in I$, \exists isom. of $\mathcal{O}|_{(U_i \cap U_j)}$ -modules

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{O}|_{(U_i \cap U_j)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}|_{(U_j \cap U_i)}$$

$$(\text{and also } \cong M|_{(U_i \cap U_j)})$$

Recall: 4.5.2, 3

• $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{End } \mathcal{O} (= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}))$ is ring isom w/ composition

as multiplication, sending $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ to an endomorphism given over

$U \subseteq X$ by multiplication by restriction $\rho_U^X(s)$:

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}) : t \mapsto \rho_U^X(s) \cdot t$$

4 hence, $\text{Aut } \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})^*$ the gp. of units of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$

This implies (b) is equivalent to giving a unit $f_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}) \quad \forall (i, j) \in I \times I$

(so that isom. of (*) is multiply by f_{ij})

• An assignment $U \mapsto (\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}))^* = \text{gp. of units of } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$ defines a sheaf \mathcal{O}^* of ab. gps (under multiplication) &

the f_{ij} gives an element

$$f = (f_{ij})_{(i,j) \in I_1} \in C^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

• Note, isoms. of (b) (*) are compatible w triple intersection

$U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$, so f is in fact a cocycle, i.e.

$$f \in \ker(d_1 : C^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow C^2(U, \mathcal{O}^*)) \\ =: Z^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

• Conversely / Also note

given $f \in Z^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$ can construct \mathcal{O} -mod M

by gluing copies of $\mathcal{O}|_{(U_i \cap U_j)}$ (by f as in (b))

• So w.l. have defined a map

$$\xi: Z^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$$

im $\xi := \{ \text{isom. classes of invertible sheaves trivialized by } \mathcal{U} \}$
i.e. $\forall i \in I \quad M|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}|_{U_i}$

• ξ is morph. of ab. grps by

composition of cocycles \mapsto operation on $\text{Pic } X$ by \otimes

• Note: If $f_{ij} \in \ker \xi$ then the invertible sheaf M constructed from f as above is trivial.

i.e. $M \cong \mathcal{O}$

• Now we have a global section $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \ni 1$,

let $g_i \in \Gamma(U_i, M)$ be the corresponding section.

Then (by b) i.e. multiply w f_{ij} , we get

$$\forall i, j \in I \quad g_j = f_{ij} \circ g_i \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j$$

So f is a coboundary i.e.

$$f \in \text{Im} (d_0 : C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^*))$$

So ξ induces an injection with same im (ξ)

$$H^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X$$

(Since every inv. sheaf is trivial over some covering & refinement maps are compatible w ξ), we have

Theorem. \exists Isom. of Ab gps

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \cong \text{Pic } X.$$

Example. (X, \mathcal{O}) complex mfd (continuous & analytic)

Then \exists sheaf morph $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$

$$\mathbb{C} \text{ valued } f \mapsto e^{2\pi i f}$$

$$\& \text{ a SES: } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$$

Const sheaf (of \mathbb{Z} -valued function)

& associated map $\text{Pic } X = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

§. References:

- Tennison: Sheaf Theory
- Grothendieck: English translation of
"Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique"
- Tamme: Introduction to Étale Cohomology
- Garrett: Notes Sheaf Cohomology